

JACOB'S LADDERS AND THE ALMOST EXACT ASYMPTOTIC REPRESENTATION OF THE HARDY-LITTLEWOOD INTEGRAL

JAN MOSER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a nonlinear integral equation such that the system of global solution to this equation represents a class of a very narrow beam at $T \rightarrow \infty$ (an analogue to the laser beam) and this sheaf of solutions leads to an almost-exact representation of the Hardy-Littlewood integral. The accuracy of our result is essentially better than the accuracy of related results of Balasubramanian, Heath-Brown and Ivic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us remind that Hardy and Littlewood started to study the following integral in 1918:

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^T \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^2 dt = \int_0^T Z^2(t) dt,$$

where

$$Z(t) = e^{i\vartheta(t)} \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right), \quad \vartheta(t) = -\frac{1}{2}t \ln(\pi) + \operatorname{Im} \ln \left[\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} + i\frac{t}{2} \right) \right],$$

and they have derived the following formula (see [4], page 122, 151-156)

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^T Z^2(t) dt \sim T \ln(T), \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this paper we show that except the asymptotic formula (1.2) that posses an unbounded error there is an infinite family of other asymptotic representations of the Hardy-Littlewood integral (1.1). Each member of this family is an almost-exact representation of the given integral. The proof of this will be based on properties of a kind of functions having some canonical properties on the set of zeroes of the function $\zeta(1/2 + it)$.

(A) Let us remind further that in 1928 Titchmarsh has discovered a new treatment to the integral (1.1) by which the Titchmarsh-Kober-Atkinson (TKA) formula:

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^\infty Z^2(t) e^{-2\delta t} dt = \frac{c - \ln(4\pi\delta)}{2 \sin(\delta)} + \sum_{n=0}^N c_n \delta^n + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{N+1}),$$

where $\delta \rightarrow 0$, C is the Euler constant, c_n are constant depending upon N , was derived.

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta-function.

The TKA formula has been published for the first time in 1951 in the fundamental monograph by Titchmarsh (see [8], [1],[6],[7]). It was thought for about 56 years that the TKA formula is a kind of curiosity (see [5], page 139). However, in this paper we show that the TKA formula itself contains new kinds of principles.

(B) Namely, in this work we introduce a new class of curves, which are the solutions to the following nonlinear integral equation:

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^{\mu[x(T)]} Z^2(t) e^{-\frac{2}{x(T)}t} dt = \int_0^T Z^2(t) dt,$$

where the class of functions $\{\mu\}$ is specified as: $\mu \in C^\infty([y_0, \infty))$ is a monotonically increasing (to $+\infty$) function and it obeys $\mu(y) \geq 7y \ln(y)$. The following holds true: for any $\mu \in \{\mu\}$ it exists just one solution to the equation (1.4):

$$\varphi(T) = \varphi_\mu(T), \quad T \in [T_0, \infty), \quad T_0 = T_0[\varphi], \quad \varphi(T) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let us denote by the symbol $\{\varphi\}$ the system of these solutions. The function $\varphi(T)$ is related to the zeroes of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line by the following way. Let $t = \gamma$ be a zero of the function $\zeta(1/2 + it)$ of the order $n(\gamma)$, where $n(\gamma) = \mathcal{O}(\ln(\gamma))$, (see [3], page 178). Then the points $[\gamma, \varphi(\gamma)]$, $\gamma > T_0$ (and only these points) are the inflection points with the horizontal tangent. In more details, it holds true the following system of equations:

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi'(\gamma) = \varphi''(\gamma) = \dots = \varphi^{(2n)}(\gamma) = 0, \quad \varphi^{(2n+1)}(\gamma) \neq 0,$$

where $n = n(\gamma)$.

With respect to this property an element $\varphi \in \{\varphi\}$ is to be named as the Jacob's ladder leading to $[+\infty, +\infty]$ (the rungs of the Jacob's ladder are the segments of the curve φ lying in the neighborhoods of the points $[\gamma, \varphi(\gamma)]$, $\gamma > T_0[\varphi]$). Finally, also the composition of the functions $G[\varphi(T)]$ is to be named the Jacob's ladder if the following conditions are fulfilled: $G \in C^\infty([y_0, +\infty))$, G grows to $+\infty$ and G has a positive derivative everywhere.

Let us mention that the mapping (the operator)

$$\hat{H} : \{\mu\} \rightarrow \{\varphi\}$$

can be named the Z^2 -mapping of the functions of the class $\{\mu\}$.

(C) Jacob's ladder implies the following results:

- (a) An almost exact asymptotic formula for the Hardy-Littlewood integral. Let us mention that our new formula makes more exact also the leading term in (1.2):

$$\int_0^T Z^2(t) dt \sim \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\varphi(T)}{2} \right), \quad T \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \forall \varphi \in \{\varphi\},$$

i.e. the leading term in this formula is also the Jacob's ladder, and therefore we can say that the leading term has a very fine structure.

- (b) The system $\{\varphi\}$ has the property of an "infinitely close approach" of any two Jacob's ladders at $T \rightarrow +\infty$.

- (c) Our new formula for the Hardy-Littlewood integral is stable with respect to the choice of the elements from some subset $\{\varphi\}^*$ in $\{\varphi\}$.

2. RESULTS

The following theorem holds true:

Theorem 1. The TKA formula implies:

(A)

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^T Z^2(t)dt = F[\varphi(T)] + r[\varphi(T)], \quad T \geq T_0[\varphi],$$

where

(2.2)

$$F[y] = \frac{y}{2} \ln\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) + (c - \ln(2\pi))\frac{y}{2} + c_0, \quad r[\varphi(T)] = \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{\ln(\varphi(T))}{\varphi(T)}\right\} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln(T)}{T}\right).$$

(B) For all $\varphi_1(T), \varphi_2(T) \in \{\varphi\}$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi_1(T) - \varphi_2(T) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right), \quad T \geq \max\{T_0[\varphi_1], T_0[\varphi_2]\}.$$

(C) If the set $\{\mu(y_0)\}$ is bounded then for all $\varphi(T)$ in $\{\varphi\}$ and for any fixed $\varphi_0(T) \in \{\varphi\}$:

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_0(T) - \frac{A}{T} < \varphi(T) < \varphi_0(T) + \frac{A}{T}, \quad T \geq T_0 = \sup_{\mu}\{\mu(y_0)\}.$$

Let us mention that the constants in the $\mathcal{O}$ -symbols do not depend upon the choice of $\varphi(T)$.

Let us remind the Balasubramanian's formula (see [2]):

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^T Z^2(t)dt = T \ln(T) + (2c - 1 - \ln(2\pi))T + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{1/3+\epsilon}\right),$$

and Ω -theorem of Good (see [3]):

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^T Z^2(t)dt - T \ln(T) - (2c - 1 - \ln(2\pi))T = \Omega\left(T^{1/4}\right).$$

Remark 2. Combining the formulae (2.1), (2.2) and (2.5) one obtains that:

- Formula (2.5) possesses quite large uncertainty since the deviation from the value of (1.1) is given by $R(T) = \mathcal{O}(T^{1/3+\epsilon})$, and (see (2.6)) since

$$\overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} |R(T)| = +\infty,$$

this cannot be removed.

- Following (2.2) we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} r[\varphi(T)] = 0,$$

and this means that formula (2.1) seems to be almost exact.

Remark 3. We have found a new fact that the leading term in the Hardy-Littlewood integral is a ladder (see (2.1)), i.e. it has a fine structure. There is no analogue of this in the formulae (1.2) or (2.5).

Remark 4. We say explicitly that:

- Formula (2.3) contains a new effect, namely, any two Jacob's ladders approach each other at $T \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2.4) implies that the representations (2.1) and (2.2) of the integral of Hardy-Littlewood (1.1) are stable under the choice of $\varphi(T) \in \{\varphi\}$ in the case of a bounded set $\{\mu(y_0)\}$.

Remark 5. Following the second part of Remark 3 the representations (2.1) and (2.2) of the Hardy-Littlewood integral (1.1) is *microscopically unique* in sense that any two Jacob's ladders φ_1, φ_2 , $T \geq T_0$ (see (2.4)) cannot be distinguished at $T \rightarrow \infty$.

In the fifth part of this work we establish the relation between the Jacob's ladder and the prime-counting function $\pi(T)$:

$$\pi(T) \sim \frac{1}{1-c} \left\{ T - \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} \right\}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

3. EXISTENCE OF THE JACOB'S LADDER

The following lemma holds true:

Lemma 1. Let $\mu(y) \in \{\mu\}$ be fixed. Then there exists an unique solution $\varphi(T)$, $T \geq T_0[\varphi]$ to the integral equation (1.4) that obeys the property (1.5).

Proof. By the Bonnet's mean-value theorem in the case $y > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^\mu Z^2(t) e^{-\frac{2}{y}t} dt = \int_0^M Z^2(t) dt, \quad M > 0,$$

where $e^{-\frac{2}{y}t}$, $t \in [0, \mu]$ is decreasing and equals 1 at $t = 0$.

First of all we will show that the formula (3.1) maps to any fixed $\mu > 0$ just one $M > 0$, since the case $M_1 \neq M_2$ is impossible because it would mean that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{M_1}^{M_2} Z^2(t) dt = 0.$$

Let $\mu(y) \in \{\mu\}$. Then the following formula

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^{\mu(y)} Z^2(t) e^{-\frac{2}{y}t} dt = \int_0^{M(y)} Z^2(t) dt$$

defines a function $M(y) = M_\mu(y)$, $y \geq y_0$. Let the symbol $\{M\}$ denote the class of the images of the elements $\mu(y) \in \{\mu\}$.

The function $M(y)$ is positive and increases to $+\infty$. In fact, let

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi(y) = \int_0^{\mu(y)} Z^2(t) e^{-\frac{2}{y}t} dt.$$

Then

$$(3.5) \quad \Phi'(y) = \frac{2}{y^2} \int_0^{\mu(y)} t Z^2(t) e^{-\frac{2}{y}t} dt + Z^2[\mu(y)] e^{-\frac{2}{y}\mu(y)} \frac{d\mu(y)}{dy} > 0$$

(the first term is obviously positive and the second one is non-negative). Thus, we see that the function $\Phi(y)$, $y \in [y_0, +\infty)$ is increasing. Subsequently, for any $y, \Delta y > 0, y \geq y_0$ one has

$$0 < \Phi(y + \Delta y) - \Phi(y) = \int_{M(y)}^{M(y+\Delta y)} Z^2(t) dt \Rightarrow M(y + \Delta y) > M(y).$$

The function $M(y)$, $y \geq y_0$ is continuous. In fact, for any fixed $\hat{y} \in [y_0, +\infty)$ we have

$$M_1(\hat{y}) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow \hat{y}^+} M(y), \quad M_2(\hat{y}) = \liminf_{y \rightarrow \hat{y}^+} M(y).$$

And with respect to the continuity of the left-hand side of eq. (3.3) we obtain (see (3.2)):

$$\int_{M_1(\hat{y})}^{M_2(\hat{y})} Z^2(t) dt = 0 \quad M_1(\hat{y}) = M_2(\hat{y}) = M(\hat{y} + 0).$$

The existence of $M(\hat{y} - 0)$ and the identities: $M(\hat{y}) = M(\hat{y} - 0) = M(\hat{y} + 0)$ can be shown by analogy.

The function $M(y)$, $y \geq y_0$ obeys the following properties:

- (a) It has a continuous derivative at any point y such that $M(y) \neq \gamma$, where γ is a zero of the function $\zeta(1/2 + it)$.
- (b) It has a derivative equal to $+\infty$ at any point y such that $M(y) = \gamma$, γ mentioned above.

These properties of the M function can be proved as follows. By (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\Phi(y + \Delta y) - \Phi(y)}{\Delta y} = \frac{M(y + \Delta y) - M(y)}{\Delta y} Z^2 \{M(y) + \theta \cdot [M(y + \Delta y) - M(y)]\},$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Using the fact that $\Phi'(y) > 0$ (see (3.5)) we can deduce from (3.6) that:

- (i) for any values of y such that $Z^2[M(y)] > 0$ there exists a continuous derivative, and
- (ii) for any values of y such that $Z^2[M(y)] = 0$ we have

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(y + \Delta y) - M(y)}{\Delta y} = +\infty.$$

Since our function $T = M(y)$, $y \geq y_0$ is continuous and increasing (to $+\infty$) there exists unique inverse function that is also continuous and increasing (to $+\infty$):

$$(3.8) \quad y = \varphi(T) = \varphi_M(T), \quad T \geq T_0[\varphi] = M_\mu(y_0).$$

As a consequence of eqs. (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{d\varphi(T)}{dT} = \frac{Z^2(T)}{\Phi'[\varphi(T)]}, \quad \Phi' = \Phi'_y[\varphi(T)] > 0, \quad T \geq T_0[\varphi],$$

($\varphi'(\gamma) = 0$, see (3.7)). Eq. (3.9) implies that $\varphi(T) \in C^\infty([T_0[\varphi], +\infty))$ and also that the property (1.5) holds true. Inserting (3.8) into (3.3) one obtains that $X(T) = \varphi_\mu(T)$, $T \geq T_0[\varphi]$ is a solution to the integral equation (1.4). $\square$

4. CONSEQUENCES FROM THE TKA FORMULA

The following Lemma holds true:

Lemma 2. Let $M(y) \in \{M\}$ be arbitrary, then

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^{M(y)} Z^2(t) dt = F(y) + r(y),$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad F(y) = \frac{y}{2} \ln \left(\frac{y}{2} \right) + E \frac{y}{2} + c_0, \quad r(y) = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\ln(y)}{y} \right), \quad E = c - \ln(2\pi),$$

and the constant within the $\mathcal{O}$ -symbol is an absolute constant.

Proof. We start with the formula (1.3) and $N = 1$:

$$(4.3) \quad \int_0^\infty Z^2(t) e^{-2\delta t} dt = \frac{c - \ln(4\pi\delta)}{2 \sin(\delta)} + c_0 + c_1 \delta + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2).$$

As long as $|Z(t)| < At^{1/4}$, $t \geq t_0$, we have

$$f(t, \delta) = t^{1/2} e^{-\delta t} \leq f \left(\frac{1}{2\delta}, \delta \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e\delta}},$$

$$\int_U^\infty Z^2(t) e^{-2\delta t} dt < B \frac{e^{-\delta U}}{\delta^{3/2}}, \quad B = \frac{A^2}{\sqrt{2e}}, \quad U \geq t_0.$$

The value $U = \mu(1/\delta)$ is to be chosen by the following rule:

$$B\delta^{-3/2} e^{-\delta U} \leq \delta^2 \Rightarrow \mu \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) \geq \frac{7}{\delta} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) > \frac{1}{\delta} \ln \left(\frac{B}{\delta^{7/2}} \right).$$

Now, (4.3) implies:

$$(4.4) \quad \int_0^{\mu(1/\delta)} Z^2(t) e^{-2\delta t} dt = \frac{c - \ln(4\pi\delta)}{2 \sin(\delta)} + c_0 + c_1 \delta + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \quad \mu \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) \geq \frac{7}{\delta} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right),$$

(see the introduction, part (B) - the condition for $\mu(y)$), and for the remainder term we have:

$$(4.5) \quad - \int_{\mu(1/\delta)}^\infty Z^2(t) e^{-2\delta t} dt = \mathcal{O}(\delta^2).$$

Let $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ with δ_0 being sufficiently small, then

$$\frac{1}{\sin(\delta)} = \frac{1}{\delta} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\delta^2}{6} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^4) \right\},$$

and

$$\frac{c - \ln(4\pi\delta)}{2 \sin(\delta)} = \frac{1}{2\delta} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) + \frac{D}{2\delta} + \mathcal{O} \left[\delta \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right],$$

and (see (4.4))

$$(4.6) \quad \int_0^{\mu(1/\delta)} Z^2(t) e^{-2\delta t} dt = \frac{1}{2\delta} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) + \frac{D}{2\delta} + c_0 + \mathcal{O} \left[\delta \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right], \quad D = c - \ln(4\pi).$$

Putting $\delta = 1/y$, $y_0 = 1/\delta_0$ into eq. (4.6) and using eq. (3.3) we obtain the formulae (4.1) and (4.2), respectively. Since the constants in the eqs. (4.3), (4.5) are absolute, the constant entering the $\mathcal{O}$ -symbol in (4.2) is absolute, too. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Putting $T = y/2$ into eq. (2.5) and comparing with the formula (4.1) we obtain

$$\frac{y}{2} < M(y), \quad y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, putting into eq. (2.5)

$$T = \frac{y}{2} \left(1 + \frac{A}{\ln\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)} \right), \quad A > 1 - c,$$

and comparing with eq. (4.1) we have

$$M(y) < \frac{y}{2} \left(1 + \frac{A}{\ln\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)} \right), \quad y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Subsequently (see (3.8)),

$$(5.1) \quad 0 < M(y) - \frac{y}{2} < \frac{A}{2} \frac{y}{\ln\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)} \Rightarrow 0 < 2T - \varphi(T) < B \frac{\varphi(T)}{\ln[\varphi(T)]},$$

i.e. the following equation holds true

$$(5.2) \quad 1.9T < \varphi(T) < 2T.$$

Inserting $y = \varphi(T) \in \{\varphi\}$ into eq. (4.1) (see (3.8)) we obtain the formula (2.1) and the estimate (2.2), (see (5.2)).

The relation (2.3) follows from $F'(y) = 1/2 \ln(y/2) + E + 1$ and from the eq. (2.1) written for φ_1 and φ_2 , respectively, with help of (5.2).

Since $\mu(y) > M(y)$, $y \geq y_0$ (see (3.3)) and in the case of boundedness of the set $\{\mu(y_0)\}$ the choice of values (see (2.4)):

$$T_0 = \sup_{\mu} \{\mu(y_0)\} \geq \mu(y_0) > M_{\mu}(y_0), \quad \forall \mu(y) \in \{\mu\},$$

is regular, i.e. the interval $[T_0, +\infty)$ is the common domain of the functions $\varphi_{\mu}(T)$, $\mu(T) \in \{\mu\}$.

6. RELATION BETWEEN THE JACOB'S LADDERS AND PRIME-COUNTING FUNCTION $\pi(T)$

Comparing the formulae (2.1) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\omega\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right) - \omega(T) = (1 - c)T + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{1/3+\epsilon}\right), \quad \omega(t) = t \ln(t) + (c - \ln(2\pi))T.$$

Let us consider the power series expansion in the variable $\varphi/2 - T$ of the previous formula. We obtain the following nonlinear equation

$$(6.1) \quad x(\ln(T) - a) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k(k-1)} = 1 - c + \mathcal{O}\left(T^{-2/3+\epsilon}\right), \quad x = \frac{T - \frac{\varphi}{2}}{T},$$

where $a = \ln(2\pi) - 1 - c$. Because of (see (5.1) and (5.2))

$$x = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ln(T)}\right),$$

we obtain from (6.1):

$$x = \frac{1-c}{\ln(T)-a} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ln^3(T)}\right) \Rightarrow T - \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} = \frac{T}{\ln(T)} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ln(T)}\right) \right\},$$

and furthermore, by using the Selberg-Erdős theorem, we obtain the formula:

$$(6.2) \quad \pi(T) \sim \frac{1}{1-c} \left\{ T - \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} \right\}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall \varphi \in \{\varphi\}.$$

Remark 6. As a consequence of the above written we have that the Jacob's ladders are connected (along to the zeroes of the function $\zeta(1/2 + it)$) also to the prime-counting function $\pi(T)$, see (6.2).

More interesting information can be deduced from the nonlinear equation (6.1). Namely, inserting

$$(6.3) \quad T e^{-a} = \tau, \quad e^{-a} \varphi(e^a \tau) = \psi(\tau)$$

into (6.1) we obtain:

$$(6.4) \quad x \ln(\tau) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k(k-1)} = 1 - c + \mathcal{O}\left(\tau^{-2/3+\epsilon}\right), \quad x = \frac{\tau - \frac{\psi(\tau)}{2}}{\tau}.$$

The following statement holds true: if in the equation:

$$(6.5) \quad x = \frac{A_1}{\ln(\tau)} + \frac{A_3}{\ln^3(\tau)} + \dots + \frac{A_n}{\ln^n(\tau)} + \frac{A_{n+1}}{\ln^{n+1}(\tau)} + \dots,$$

the coefficients $A_1, A_3, \dots, A_n$ are already known, then the coefficient A_{n+1} is determined by (6.4). We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= 1 - c, \quad A_3 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - c)^2, \quad A_4 = \frac{1}{6}(1 - c)^3, \\ A_5 &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - c)^3 + \frac{1}{12}(1 - c)^4, \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

Changing the variables in (6.5) into the initial ones (see (6.3)) we obtain the following asymptotic formula

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{1}{T} \left\{ T - \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} \right\} \sim \frac{A_1}{\ln(T)-a} + \frac{A_3}{(\ln(T)-a)^3} + \dots \sim \frac{A_1}{\ln(T)} + \frac{B_2}{\ln^2(T)} + \frac{B_3}{\ln^3(T)} + \dots, \quad T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $B_2 = aA_1$, $B_3 = a^2A_1 + A_3$, ...

Remark 7. Let us remark that the asymptotic formula (6.6) is an analogue to the following asymptotic formula

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_2^T \frac{dt}{\ln(t)} \sim \frac{1}{\ln(T)} + \frac{1}{\ln^2(T)} + \frac{2!}{\ln^3(T)} + \dots,$$

for the Gauss logarithmic integral.

7. FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES OF Z^2 -TRANSFORMATION

Let us mention explicitly that the key idea of the proof of the theorem was to introduce the new integral transformation: Z^2 -transformation.

By using the appropriate terminology from optics (see example: Landau& Lifshitz, Field theory, GIFML, Moscow 1962, page 167):

- the elements $\mu(y) \in \{\mu\}$ are called the *rays* and the set $\{\mu\}$ itself is called the *beam*,
- the beams crossing each other in a given point are called *homocentric beams*.

The fundamental property of the Z^2 -transformation lies in the following:

- if the set $\{\mu(y_0)\}$ is bounded then the beam $\{\mu\}$ (and, at the same time, also any other homocentric beam) is transformed into the homocentric beam $\{\varphi\}$ of the Jacob's ladders, with respect to the point $[+\infty, +\infty]$ (see (2.3),(2.4)),
- the transformed beam $\{\varphi\}$ is very narrow in sense of (2.4), i.e. an Z^2 -optical system generates an analogue of a laser beam.

Let us consider for example the homocentric (with respect to the point $[y_0, y_0^2]$) sheaf of rays:

$$(7.1) \quad u(y; \rho, n) = y^2[1 + \rho(y - y_0)^n], \quad \rho \in [0, 1], \quad n \in N.$$

Following the equation $u_0(y + \Delta; 0, n) = u_1(y; 1, n)$ we obtain that

$$\Delta = \frac{y(y - y_0)^n}{\sqrt{1 + (y - y_0)^2}} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{at } y \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e. (7.1) is a diverging beam. Anyway, the Z^2 -mapping transforms (7.1) into an analogue of a laser beam $\{\varphi_u\}$.

8. ON INTERVALS THAT CANNOT BE REACHED BY ESTIMATES OF HEATH-BROWN AND IVIC

We will show the accuracy of our formula (2.1) in comparison with known estimates of Heath-Brown and Ivic (see [5], (7.20) page 178, and (7.62) page 191)

$$(8.1) \quad \int_T^{T+G} Z^2(t)dt = \mathcal{O}(G \ln^2(T)), \quad G = T^{1/3-\epsilon_0}, \quad \epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{108}.$$

First of all, one can easily obtain the *tangent law* from both (2.1) and (8.1):

$$(8.2) \quad \int_T^{T+U} Z^2(t)dt = U \ln \left(e^{-a} \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} \right) \tan[\alpha(T, U)] + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{T^{1/3+2\epsilon_0}} \right)$$

for $0 < U < T^{1/3+\epsilon_0}$, where $\alpha = \alpha(U, T)$ is the angle of the chord of the curve $y = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)$ crossing the points $[T, \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)]$ and $[T + U, \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T + U)]$. Further, from (2.1) and (2.5) we have

$$(8.3) \quad \tan(\alpha_0) = \tan[\alpha(T, U_0)] = 1 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{\ln(T)} \right), \quad U_0 = T^{1/3+2\epsilon}.$$

And finally, considering the set of all chords of the curve $y = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)$ which are parallel to our fundamental chord joining points $[T, \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)]$ and $[T + U, \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T + U)]$,

we obtain the continuum of formulae:

$$(8.4) \quad \int_a^b Z^2(t)dt = (b-a)\ln(T) + \mathcal{O}(b-a) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T^{1/3+2\epsilon_0}}\right),$$

$$0 < b-a < 1, (a, b) \subset (T, T + T^{1/3+\epsilon_0}).$$

Remark 8. It is quite evident that the interval $(0, 1)$ cannot be reached in known theories leading to estimates of Heath-Brown and Ivic.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. V. Atkinson, 'The mean value of the zeta-function on critical line', *Quart. J. Math.* 10 (1939) 122–128..
- [2] R. Balasubramanian, 'An improvement on a theorem of Titchmarsh on the mean square of $|\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2$ ', *Proc. London. Math. Soc.* 3 36 (1978) 540–575.
- [3] A. Good, 'Ein Ω -Resultat für quadratische Mittel der Riemannschen Zetafunktion auf der kritische Linie', *Invent. Math.* 41 (1977) 233–251.
- [4] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, 'Contribution to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function and the theory pf the distribution of Primes', *Acta. Math.* 41 (1918) 119–195.
- [5] A. Ivic, 'The Riemann zeta-function', A Willey-Interscience Publication, New York, 1985.
- [6] H. Kober, 'Eine Mittelwertformeln der Riemannschen Zetafunktion', *Composition Math.* 3 (1935) 174–189.
- [7] E. C. Titchmarsh, 'The mean-value of the zeta-function on the critical line', *Proc. London. Math. Soc.* (2) 27 (1928) 137–150.
- [8] E. C. Titchmarsh, 'The theory of the Riemann zeta-function', Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND NUMERICAL MATHEMATICS, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY, MLYNSKA DOLINA M105, 842 48 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA
E-mail address: jan.moser@fmph.uniba.sk